

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

10
11 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
12 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
13 LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-MD-3084-CRB

14 **[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING**
15 **PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE**
16 **MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME**

17
18 This Document Relates to:
19
20 ALL ACTIONS

Judge: Hon. Lisa J. Cisneros
Courtroom: G – 15th Floor

21
22 Having considered Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Shorten Time for Uber's Response
23 to Motion to Enforce PTO No. 5 Related to Government Investigation Documents, (the "Motion"),
24 all other papers and evidence submitted in support and opposition, the pertinent pleadings and
25 papers on file in this action, and the arguments of counsel, and all other matters presented to the
26 Court, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **DENIED**.

27 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

28 Dated: _____

HON. LISA J. CISNEROS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE